Constellation Optimization for Coherent Optical Channels Distorted by Nonlinear Phase Noise

Christian Häger¹ Alexandre Graell i Amat¹ Alex Alvarado² Erik Agrell¹

¹Department of Signals and Systems, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden, Fiber Optic Communications Research Center

FORCE

 $^{2}\mathrm{Department}$ of Enginering, University of Cambridge, UK

{christian.haeger, alexandre.graell, agrell}@chalmers.se, alex.alvarado@ieee.org

GLOBECOM, Anaheim, CA - December 4, 2012



	ONALMENCO			

IVIOLIVALIOII



 Higher order modulation formats for optical communications to increase spectral efficiency



- Higher order modulation formats for optical communications to increase spectral efficiency
- Optical channel suffers from distortions that are absent for example in wireless channels



- Higher order modulation formats for optical communications to increase spectral efficiency
- Optical channel suffers from distortions that are absent for example in wireless channels
- Focus here: Nonlinear phase noise



- Higher order modulation formats for optical communications to increase spectral efficiency
- Optical channel suffers from distortions that are absent for example in wireless channels
- Focus here: Nonlinear phase noise
- Practical question: How much can we gain by optimizing the constellation compared to standard QAM?



- Higher order modulation formats for optical communications to increase spectral efficiency
- Optical channel suffers from distortions that are absent for example in wireless channels
- Focus here: Nonlinear phase noise
- Practical question: How much can we gain by optimizing the constellation compared to standard QAM?
- Theoretical question: How do optimal constellations look like for very strong nonlinearities?





Channel and APSK Constellations		
00		CHALMERS













• Additive noise per segment $N_i \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2/K)$





- Additive noise per segment $N_i \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2/K)$
- Distributed Raman amplification, i.e., the limit $K \rightarrow \infty$





- Additive noise per segment $N_i \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2/K)$
- Distributed Raman amplification, i.e., the limit $K \to \infty$
- Power $P = \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^2\right]$ and signal-to(-additive)-noise ratio SNR = P/σ^2





- Additive noise per segment $N_i \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2/K)$
- Distributed Raman amplification, i.e., the limit $K \to \infty$
- Power $P = \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^2\right]$ and signal-to(-additive)-noise ratio SNR = P/σ^2
- L = 5500 km, other parameters γ , σ^2 taken from [Lau and Kahn, 2007]

nannel and APSK Constellations		CHALMERS



















in-phase







































nannel and APSK Constellations		CHALMERS









in-phase

quadrature



(4, 4, 4, 4)-APSK


Detection Methods •00000		CHALMERS



• Extensive work on the statistics of Φ_{NL}

- Extensive work on the statistics of Φ_{NL}
- See, e.g., [Mecozzi, 1994], [Turitsyn et al., 2003], [Ho, 2005], [Yousefi and Kschischang, 2011]

- Extensive work on the statistics of Φ_{NL}
- See, e.g., [Mecozzi, 1994], [Turitsyn et al., 2003], [Ho, 2005], [Yousefi and Kschischang, 2011]
- Probability density function (PDF) $f_{Y|X=x_i}(y)$ known

Detection Methods 0●0000		CHAI MERS

PDF for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm

APSK Optimizati

Conclusion



PDF for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at $P=-4~\mathrm{dBm}$







m-phase



Detection Methods 00●000		CHALMERS

Nonlinear Phase Postcompensation

Channel and APSK Constellations 00	Detection Methods		CHAI MERS

Nonlinear Phase Postcompensation





correction angle

• Building block for suboptimal (but practical) two-stage detector



- Building block for suboptimal (but practical) two-stage detector
- However, in principle no loss in optimality due to postcompensation itself (ML detection based on \tilde{Y} still possible)



- Building block for suboptimal (but practical) two-stage detector
- However, in principle no loss in optimality due to postcompensation itself (ML detection based on \tilde{Y} still possible)
- · Gives insight into why two-stage detection is suboptimal



- Building block for suboptimal (but practical) two-stage detector
- However, in principle no loss in optimality due to postcompensation itself (ML detection based on \tilde{Y} still possible)
- · Gives insight into why two-stage detection is suboptimal
- Note: the PDF of \tilde{Y} is defined piecewise

Detection Methods 000●00		CHALMERS
~		

PDF of Y for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm

Detection Methods 000€00		CHAI MERS
 ~		
	4 10	

PDF of \tilde{Y} for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm



Detection Methods 000●00		CHAI MERS
~		

PDF of \tilde{Y} for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm



annel and APSK Constellations Detection Methods			CHALMERS
DDE		4 10	

PDF of Y for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm



hannel and APSK Con	nstellations	Detection Metho 000●00	ds APSK Opti 000	imization	Conclusions O	CHALMERS
	PDF of	\tilde{Y} for (4,	4,4,4)-APSk	K at $P =$	$-4 \mathrm{dBm}$	1



	Detection Methods 000●00		CHALMERS
DDE		4 10	

PDF of \tilde{Y} for (4,4,4,4)-APSK at P = -4 dBm



Channel and APSK Constellations OO	Detection Methods 0000€0	APSK Optimization	Conclusions O	CHALMERS
	ML Detect	ion based on \hat{y}	7	







Constellation Optimization for Nonlinear Phase Noise Channels | Häger, Graell i Amat, Alvarado, Agrell



Channel		Det
		00

ection Methods

APSK Optimizati

Conclusions

CHALMERS

Two-Stage Detection



Channel		

APSK Optimizati

Conclusions

CHALMERS

Two-Stage Detection



Channel		

APSK Optimizati

Conclusions

CHALMERS

Two-Stage Detection



in-phase





Goal



Goal

Which APSK constellation minimizes the symbol error probability under two-stage detection for a given input power *P*?

• How many rings?



Goal

Which APSK constellation minimizes the symbol error probability under two-stage detection for a given input power *P*?

• How many rings? More rings for increasing input power due to phase noise.



Goal

- How many rings? More rings for increasing input power due to phase noise.
- How many points per ring?



Goal

- How many rings? More rings for increasing input power due to phase noise.
- How many points per ring?
- What radius distribution?



Goal

- How many rings? More rings for increasing input power due to phase noise.
- How many points per ring?
- What radius distribution?
- What phase offset?


Optimization Opportunities for APSK

Goal

Which APSK constellation minimizes the symbol error probability under two-stage detection for a given input power *P*?

- How many rings? More rings for increasing input power due to phase noise.
- How many points per ring?
- What radius distribution?
- What phase offset? Two-stage detection is insensitive to a phase offset.

Channel and APSK Constellations OO	APSK Optimization	CHAIMERS
		CHALMENS

Optimizing the Number of Rings and Points per Ring





















	Conclusions •	CHAI MERS



1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.



- 1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.
- 2. Significant gains can be obtained by choosing an optimized APSK constellations compared to regular 16-QAM for this channel model.



- 1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.
- 2. Significant gains can be obtained by choosing an optimized APSK constellations compared to regular 16-QAM for this channel model.
- 3. High nonlinearities and an average power constraint may lead to counterintuitive optimization results.



- 1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.
- 2. Significant gains can be obtained by choosing an optimized APSK constellations compared to regular 16-QAM for this channel model.
- 3. High nonlinearities and an average power constraint may lead to counterintuitive optimization results.

Future work include the effect of dual polarization and dispersion on the results.



- 1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.
- 2. Significant gains can be obtained by choosing an optimized APSK constellations compared to regular 16-QAM for this channel model.
- 3. High nonlinearities and an average power constraint may lead to counterintuitive optimization results.

Future work include the effect of dual polarization and dispersion on the results.

Extended version available on Arxiv (submitted to IEEE Trans. Comm.): Häger, Graell i Amat, Alvarado, Agrell - Design of APSK Constellations for Coherent Optical Channels with Nonlinear Phase Noise, Sep. 2012



- 1. The performance loss of the two-stage detector originates from suboptimal detection regions, not from the postcompensation itself.
- 2. Significant gains can be obtained by choosing an optimized APSK constellations compared to regular 16-QAM for this channel model.
- 3. High nonlinearities and an average power constraint may lead to counterintuitive optimization results.

Future work include the effect of dual polarization and dispersion on the results.

Extended version available on Arxiv (submitted to IEEE Trans. Comm.): Häger, Graell i Amat, Alvarado, Agrell - Design of APSK Constellations for Coherent Optical Channels with Nonlinear Phase Noise, Sep. 2012

Thank you!

FURCE

References

Ho, K.-P. (2005).

Phase-modulated Optical Communication Systems. Springer.



Lau, A. P. T. and Kahn, J. M. (2007). Signal Design and Detection in Presence of Nonlinear Phase Noise. J. Lightw. Technol., 25(10):3008-3016.



Mecozzi, A. (1994).

Limits to long-haul coherent transmission set by the Kerr nonlinearity and noise of the in-line amplifiers.

J. Lightw. Technol., 12(11):1993-2000.



Turitsyn, K. S., Derevyanko, S. A., Yurkevich, I. V., and Turitsyn, S. K. (2003).

Information Capacity of Optical Fiber Channels with Zero Average Dispersion.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 91(20):203901.



Yousefi, M. I. and Kschischang, F. R. (2011). On the per-sample capacity of nondispersive optical fibers. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 57(11):7522-7541.







15/13

